

Business Efficiency Board Update

Halton Council

Year ended 31 March 2014

11 September 2014

Mike Thomas
Director
T 0161 214 6368
E mike.thomas@uk.gt.com

Liz Temple-Murray
Manager
T 0161 214 6370
E liz.m.temple-murray@uk.gt.com

John Padfield
Executive
T 0161 214 6378
E john.padfield@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at 11 September 2014	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Accounting and audit issues	7
Grant Thornton	8
Local government guidance	11

Introduction

This paper provides the Business Efficiency Board with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you; and
- a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Board may wish to consider.

Members of the Business Efficiency Board can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector (<http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>). Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Working in tandem, local government governance review 2014, our third annual review, assessing local authority governance, highlighting areas for improvement and posing questions to help assess the strength of current arrangements
- 2016 tipping point? Challenging the current, summary findings from our third year of financial health checks of English local authorities
- Local Government Pension Schemes Governance Review, a review of current practice, best case examples and useful questions to assess governance strengths
- Responding to the challenge – Alternative Delivery Models in Local Government

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Mike Thomas, Engagement Lead T 0161 214 6368 M 07880 456 173 E mike.thomas@uk.gt.com
Liz Temple-Murray, Engagement Manager M 07880 456 171 E liz.m.temple-murray@uk.gt.com

Progress at 11 September 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2013-14 Accounts Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion your 2013-14 financial statements.</p>	April 2014	Yes	The Audit Plan that summarises the findings of our audit planning and our approach to the audit of the Council's accounts and Value for Money (VfM) was circulated to the Board.
<p>Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • developing our risk assessment for our Value for Money conclusion work. 	November 2013 to April 2014	Yes	Our interim fieldwork is complete. Our findings were included in the Audit Plan circulated to the Board.
<p>2013-14 final accounts audit Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2013-14 financial statements • proposed opinion the Council's accounts • considering the impact of the final accounts audit work for our VFM Conclusion • Whole of Government Accounts 	June to September 2014	In progress	Our Audit Findings (Report to those charged with governance) is included in the papers for this Board. This sets out the findings of our accounts audit and VfM work for the consideration of those charged with governance.

Progress at 11 September 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</p> <p>The scope of our work to inform the 2013/14 VfM conclusion comprises the consideration of two criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience. proper arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness. 	January to September 2014	In progress	We have completed our detailed work and our Audit Findings Report contains a summary of the audit. We have also produced a more detailed VfM report that is included in the papers for this Board.
<p>Other areas of work – certification of government grant claims under the Audit Commission regime.</p> <p>We will certify your 2013-14 government grant claims under the arrangements prescribed by the Audit Commission.</p>	February to November 2014	In progress	We have started our grant certification work on the Housing Benefit Subsidy Return and will shortly be starting our work on the Local Transport Plan Major Projects grants. Our Grant claims and returns certification plan is included in the papers for this Board.
<p>Other areas of work</p> <p>You asked us to provide a report on a number of claims that do not fall under the Audit commission regime:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - independent report on RGF claim - independent report on Teachers' Pensions return 	Various	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RGF Claim - Unqualified report given February 2014. Teachers' Pensions return – awaiting department's grant instructions; we will shortly submit an engagement letter.
<p>Other activity undertaken</p> <p>Other service lines within Grant Thornton UK LLP have undertaken VAT advice and Employee Solutions work for the Council.</p>	On-going	Complete	

CIPFA updates and consultations

Accounting and audit issues

Code of practice on local authority accounts changes – have your say

At the end of July, CIPFA/LASAAC released the [2015/16 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom](#) (the Code) Exposure Draft (ED) and Invitation to Comment (ITC) for public consultation. The changes proposed in the ITC include:

- IFRS 13 fair value measurement: the proposed approach would result in remeasurement of property, plant and equipment assets that do not provide service potential for the authority. IFRS 13 also applies to assets and liabilities covered by those IFRS standards that currently permit or require measurement at fair value (with some exceptions) and will have an impact on the reporting of, for example, financial instruments and investment properties.
- Other amendments to IFRSs: including the accounting treatment of pensions' contributions
- IFRIC 21 Levies (ie levies imposed by governments)
- other minor and drafting amendments.

The consultation closes on Friday 10 October 2014.

Simplifying and streamlining the accounts

CIPFA/LASAAC have also launched a second stage consultation on [simplifying and streamlining the presentation of local authority financial statements](#). This consultation closes on Friday 19 September 2014.

CIPFA LAAP updates

CIPFA has issued the following Local Authority Accounting Panel (LAAP) Bulletins:

- [LAAP bulletin 99](#) Local Authority Reserves and Balances – provides guidance on the establishment and maintenance of local authority reserves and balances.
- [LAAP bulletin 100](#) Project Plan for Implementation of the Measurement Requirements for Transport Infrastructure Assets by 2016/17 – provides an outline project plan to help authorities looking to develop their own project plans for the implementation of the 2016/17 Code requirements for accounting for infrastructure assets.

The dynamics of local growth

Grant Thornton

Where Growth Happens

Our national report 'Where Growth Happens' published on 2 September is available at: <http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/>

As the UK emerges from recession, increasing attention is being given, both nationally and locally, as to how to accelerate economic sector growth. Our report presents the findings of research undertaken by our Place Analytics team on the dynamics of local growth. It will give FDs and CEOs of local authorities and LEPs:

- an insight into the geographic areas of high growth and dynamic growth (ie the quality of growth)
- an understanding of the characteristics of both growing and dynamic places to help frame policy and sustain future growth
- an understanding of growth corridors and their implications, not only for UK policy makers, but also for those locally sitting within and outside the corridors
- an insight into the views of different leaders charged with making growth happen in their locality.

The report provides a ranking of English cities according to their economic growth over an eight year period (2004 – 2012). Outside of London – which maintains eight of the top 10 best performing districts overall – it places Manchester, Birmingham and Brighton and Hove in the top three, as measured by economic, demographic and place (dwelling stock and commercial floor space) growth.

The analysis also assesses the quality of local growth - or 'dynamism' - to identify areas with a vibrant and dynamic economy capable of supporting future expansion, based on five key drivers. London again tops the ranking, with nine out of the top 10 dynamic growth areas. Outside the capital, Cambridge, Reading and Manchester top the list of future sustainable growth.

Based on this analysis of past progress and future prospects, our report reveals a number of 'growth corridors' – functional and large scale local economic areas in England – which are playing a significant role in the country's overall growth levels. Though predominantly stemming from London, the intra-city growth corridors include a number of other large cities at their core, creating a network of key strategic linkages between high growth and dynamic areas.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Good practice in councils' approaches to delivering affordable housing

Grant Thornton

New routes to housing development

We have issued the first in a series of good practice papers on topical issues for local government.

This paper considers good practice in councils' approaches to delivering affordable housing. Until recently, local authorities have acted as an enabler of new affordable housing; increasingly they are now undertaking a direct delivery role. Delivery routes vary and must be structured with the council's objectives and capacity in mind as there is no 'one size fits all' approach. The paper considers the benefits and challenges of council owned housing companies, including:

- Setting and delivering objectives
- Identifying optimal funding routes
- Assessing viability and working with others

The paper stresses the importance of a properly developed business case and business plan to support the setting up of a housing company.

Copies of our good practice paper are available from your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Anti - fraud and corruption update

Grant Thornton

Key current issues include:

Single Fraud Investigation Service (SFIS) - The SFIS will bring together all investigative capacity in relation to benefits and tax credits under the control of the Department of Work and Pensions. However a number of local authorities have expressed concern that such a transfer will cause them to lose the capacity to readily investigate other issues such as employee fraud and corruption allegations.

Corruption risk - In 2013 Transparency International (TI), the world's leading non-governmental anti-corruption organisation, published a report on corruption in UK Local Government. It identified twelve key risk areas covering public procurement, control over outsourced services, personnel transferring between local authorities and companies bidding to provide services, planning issues, collusion in housing fraud and manipulation of electoral registration. TI expressed concern that audit committees were unable to fulfil the function of reducing risks in many authorities.

Non-benefits fraud - There are striking differences between the identification of benefit and non-benefit fraud within local government. The Audit Commission has reported that 79 district councils did not detect a single non-benefit fraud whereas only 9 councils among all London boroughs, metropolitan districts and unitary authorities reported non-benefit frauds. Procurement fraud in particular is consistently estimated as accounting for the largest losses to fraud within local government. In its most recent Protecting the Public Purse publication the Audit Commission estimated annual losses at £876 million, representing 1% of total procurement spend.

Our Forensic Investigation Services provide a range of services to local authorities including fraud prevention and detection. If you are interested in a further discussion on these areas please contact your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Digital reporting of local government public meetings

Local government guidance

Right to report

The Local Government Minister signed a signed a Parliamentary order on 6 August 2014 allowing the press and public to film and digitally report from all public meetings of local government bodies. The new rules will apply to all public meetings, including town and parish councils and fire and rescue authorities. The Local Government Secretary, Eric Pickles, said:

"Half a century ago, Margaret Thatcher championed a new law to allow the press to make written reports of council meetings. We have updated her analogue law for a digital age... This will change the way people see local government, and allow them to view close up the good work that councillors do"

A strategic approach to managing council property assets

Local government guidance

Managing council property assets

The Audit Commission has issued its briefing paper **Managing Council Property Assets: Using Data from the VFM Profiles**

In the paper the Audit Commission:

- advocates that councils should be active and strategic managers of their estates – understanding property markets and asking questions about the properties they own or lease,
- prompts councils to consider whether assets are in the right place, whether they should keep, sell, or transfer them, and how much they should invest in building, buying and maintaining property,
- invites local authorities to balance the value realised through sales of surplus assets, against the cost of maintaining them.

The background to the briefing is the collation of information from the government's capital outturn return which identifies that the local government estate has a net book value of £169.8 billion of which £2.5 billion have been classified as 'surplus' assets. In this context the Audit Commission is calling on councils to ensure they have a strategic approach to managing these assets, in order to get the best value for money they can from this portion of the local government estate. The Audit Commission Chair, Jeremy Newman said:

"we are neither advocating that local government starts a wholesale sell-off of their land and property nor are we suggesting councils shouldn't spend money on buying assets or on investment to improve their existing property. What we are highlighting is a group of assets that do not provide immediate benefit to local communities, but still require councils to spend money on maintaining them. These assets have potential value for councils. While not all such land or buildings may be sellable, councils should consider how much value they gain from surplus assets and how this could be increased. I urge councils to use the data held in the Commission's 'Value for Money (VFM) Profiles Tool', such as spending on and value of land and property assets and 'surplus' assets, alongside their unique and detailed local knowledge, to regularly review if their estate is fit-for-purpose."

Data matching identifies another £229 million of fraud, overpayment or error

Local government guidance

The National Fraud Initiative

On 12 June 2014 the Audit Commission released its national report, [The National Fraud Initiative \(NFI\): National Report \(June 2014\)](#) highlighting that its data matching exercise has identified a further £229 million of fraud, overpayment or error in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, since it last reported in May 2012. The Chairman of the Audit Commission, Jeremy Newman said;

"We publish a report from the NFI every two years and continue to produce great results. The national figure for identified fraud, error and overpayment, that would otherwise be lost to the taxpaying public, is down by £46 million compared to the previous report although the number of cases has increased by nearly 20 per cent. This is great news if, as we believe, it is due to improving detection rates. However, we cannot be complacent. The more participants in the exercise, the richer the data for everyone involved and the harder it is for fraudsters to hide from detection".

The Audit Commission's National Fraud Initiative will move to the Cabinet Office in April 2015 to secure the continuation of the counter fraud data matching initiative which over its 18 year history has identified over £1.17 billion in fraud, error and overpayment .



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

grant-thornton.co.uk